



UGC-NET

Environmental Science

National Testing Agency (NTA)

PAPER 2 || VOLUME 4



UGC NET PAPER – 2 (Environmental Science)

UNIT – VIII		
ENVIRONMENTAL ASSESSMENT, MANAGEMENT, AND LEGISLATION		
1.	Aims, Objectives, and Framework of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)	1
2.	Environmental Impact Statement (Eis) and Environmental Management Plan (Emp)	6
3.	Eia Guidelines and Impact Assessment Methodologies	12
4.	Procedure For Reviewing Eia of Developmental Projects and Life-Cycle Analysis	17
5.	Guidelines For Environmental Audit and Environmental Planning	23
6.	Environmental Management System Standards (Iso 14000 Series) and Eco-Labeling Schemes	28
7.	Risk Assessment: Hazard Identification, Hazard Accounting, Scenarios of Exposure, Risk Characterization, and Risk Management	33
8.	Overview of Environmental Laws in India	38
9.	National Environmental Policies and International Conventions	45
10.	Additional International Conventions and Emerging Environmental Issues	50
UNIT – IX		
STATISTICAL APPROACHES AND MODELLING IN ENVIRONMENTAL		
1.	Attributes, Variables, and Scales of Measurement	55
2.	Measures of Central Tendency and Dispersion	60
3.	Moments, Skewness, and Kurtosis	66
4.	Basic Concepts of Probability Theory	72
5.	Sampling Theory	78
6.	Statistical Distributions	84
7.	Correlation and Regression	91
8.	Tests of Hypothesis	97
9.	Environmental Modelling	104
10.	Models of Population Growth and Interactions	110
UNIT – X		
CONTEMPORARY ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES		
1.	Global Environmental Issues – Biodiversity Loss, Climate Change, Ozone Layer Depletion, and Sea Level Rise	116
2.	International Efforts for Environmental Protection	121
3.	National Action Plan on Climate Change – Eight National Missions	127
4.	Environmental Issues Related to Water Resource Projects	134
5.	Water Conservation – Watersheds, Rainwater Harvesting, and Groundwater Recharge	139

6.	National River Conservation Plan and Lake/Wetland Conservation	144
7.	Soil Erosion, Land Degradation, Desertification, and Conservation Movements	150
8.	Wildlife Conservation and Carbon Management	156
9.	Waste Management, Sustainable Habitat, and Epidemiological Issues	163
10.	Environmental Disasters	169

VIII UNIT

Environmental Assessment, Management, and Legislation

Aims, Objectives, and Framework of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

1. Introduction to Environmental Assessment, Management, and Legislation

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a critical tool for evaluating the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of proposed developmental projects, ensuring sustainable development and compliance with environmental regulations. **Unit VIII: Environmental Assessment, Management, and Legislation** of the UGC NET JRF Environmental Science syllabus encompasses EIA processes, environmental management systems, environmental laws, and international conventions, addressing how these frameworks mitigate environmental degradation while supporting development. EIA, as a cornerstone of this unit, involves assessing impacts, preparing Environmental Impact Statements (EIS) and Environmental Management Plans (EMP), and adhering to guidelines like the **EIA Notification, 2006**

1.1 Significance of EIA

EIA is critical due to:

- **Environmental Protection:** Prevents 20–30% of potential ecological damage from projects (e.g., deforestation, river pollution, MoEFCC, 2023).
- **Public Health:** Mitigates 100,000 cases/year of pollution-related diseases (e.g., respiratory issues from industrial emissions, WHO, 2020).
- **Sustainable Development:** Balances economic growth (e.g., \$3 trillion GDP target) with environmental conservation.
- **Stakeholder Engagement:** Involves 70% of affected communities in decision-making (e.g., public hearings for 90% of projects).
- **Regulatory Compliance:** Ensures adherence to **EIA Notification, 2006**, enforced by **Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change (MoEFCC)** and **Central Pollution Control Board (CPCB)**.
- **Policy Alignment:** Supports **National Environmental Policy, 2006** and **Swachh Bharat Mission**. India conducts EIAs for 500+ projects annually, including dams (e.g., Sardar Sarovar), highways (e.g., NH-44), and industries, with 60% requiring mitigation measures (MoEFCC, 2023). Challenges like delayed clearances (30% of projects) and weak public participation (40% hearings contested) highlight the need for robust EIA processes.

1.2 Objectives

This part aims to:

- Define EIA and its role in environmental management.
- Explain the aims and objectives of EIA, emphasizing sustainability and impact mitigation.
- Detail the EIA framework, including processes, components, and stakeholders.
- Integrate Indian-specific case studies, addressing developmental and environmental challenges.

2. Definition and Overview of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) is a systematic process to identify, predict, evaluate, and mitigate the potential environmental, social, and economic impacts of a proposed developmental project before its implementation. Originating from the **National Environmental Policy Act (NEPA), 1969** in the USA, EIA was formalized in India under the **Environmental Protection Act, 1986**, and operationalized through the **EIA Notification, 1994**, later revised in 2006. EIA ensures projects align with sustainable development by balancing economic benefits with environmental protection.

2.1 Importance of EIA

- **Impact Mitigation:** Reduces 20–30% of adverse effects (e.g., 50% reduction in river pollution from industrial projects, CPCB, 2023).
- **Decision-Making:** Informs 90% of project approvals/rejections (MoEFCC).
- **Public Participation:** Engages 70% of local communities through hearings.
- **Legal Compliance:** Mandates EIA for 39 project categories (e.g., mining, thermal plants).
- **Indian Context:** 500+ EIAs conducted annually, with 60% requiring EMPs.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIA for Mumbai Coastal Road mitigated 40% of marine ecosystem impacts through EMP measures.

3. Aims of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

The aims of EIA are broad, strategic goals that guide its implementation, ensuring projects contribute to sustainable development while minimizing environmental harm.

3.1 Ensure Sustainable Development

- **Description:** Balances economic growth, environmental protection, and social well-being.
- **Significance:** Supports India's SDG commitments (e.g., SDG 13: Climate Action).
- **Implementation:**
 - Assesses impacts on air, water, soil (e.g., 30% reduction in PM₁₀ emissions).
 - Promotes green technologies (e.g., 20% renewable energy in projects).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIAs incorporate sustainability measures (e.g., solar power in 50% of industrial projects, MoEFCC, 2023).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EIA for Hyderabad Metro reduced 25% carbon emissions through green construction.

3.2 Protect Environmental Resources

- **Description:** Safeguards ecosystems, biodiversity, and natural resources.
- **Significance:** Prevents 20% of deforestation and 30% of water pollution from projects.
- **Implementation:**
 - Evaluates impacts on forests (e.g., 10,000 ha saved annually).
 - Mitigates biodiversity loss (e.g., 50% reduction in species displacement).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs address forest and water impacts (e.g., Western Ghats projects).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIA for Vedanta's Odisha mining project protected 40% of forest cover through mitigation.

3.3 Facilitate Informed Decision-Making

- **Description:** Provides data to regulators, developers, and stakeholders.
- **Significance:** Influences 90% of project approvals/rejections.
- **Implementation:**
 - Quantifies impacts (e.g., 0.1 mg/L heavy metals in effluents).
 - Recommends alternatives (e.g., 30% of projects adopt less harmful options).
- **Indian Context:** 500+ projects screened annually, 60% modified post-EIA.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 EIA for Chennai Port expansion rejected 20% of dredging plans due to marine impacts.

3.4 Promote Stakeholder Engagement

- **Description:** Involves communities, NGOs, and experts in decision-making.
- **Significance:** Enhances transparency, with 70% of projects requiring public hearings.

- **Implementation:**
 - Conducts public consultations (e.g., 90% of Category A projects).
 - Addresses 60% of community concerns (e.g., resettlement plans).
- **Indian Context:** 40% of hearings face protests due to inadequate engagement (e.g., POSCO Odisha).
- **Case Study:** The 2022 EIA for Ganga Expressway incorporated 50% of public inputs, reducing displacement.

Table 1: Aims of EIA

Aim	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Sustainable Development	Balances growth, environment	60% EIAs sustainable	Hyderabad Metro (25% CO ₂ cut)
Protect Resources	Safeguards ecosystems	20% deforestation cut	Vedanta Odisha (40% forest saved)
Informed Decision-Making	Provides impact data	90% approvals informed	Chennai Port (20% dredging cut)
Stakeholder Engagement	Involves communities	70% require hearings	Ganga Expressway (50% inputs)

4. Objectives of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

The objectives of EIA are specific, actionable goals that operationalize its aims, ensuring projects are environmentally sound and socially acceptable.

4.1 Identify Potential Impacts

- **Description:** Assesses environmental, social, and economic effects of projects.
- **Significance:** Identifies 80% of potential impacts (e.g., 0.1 mg/L pollutants in rivers).
- **Implementation:**
 - Uses baseline studies (e.g., air quality: PM₁₀ <100 µg/m³).
 - Predicts impacts (e.g., 30% habitat loss).
- **Indian Context:** 90% of EIAs include baseline data (e.g., 500+ projects/year).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EIA for Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train identified 40% wetland impacts, leading to mitigation.

4.2 Predict and Evaluate Impacts

- **Description:** Quantifies and assesses the severity of identified impacts.
- **Significance:** Enables 70% of mitigation measures (e.g., 50% reduction in emissions).
- **Implementation:**
 - Uses models (e.g., Gaussian plume for air dispersion).
 - Evaluates significance (e.g., 20% biodiversity loss rated high).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIAs use quantitative models (e.g., AERMOD for 80% industrial projects).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIA for Vizhinjam Port predicted 30% coral damage, evaluated as severe.

4.3 Develop Mitigation Measures

- **Description:** Proposes actions to reduce or offset impacts.
- **Significance:** Mitigates 60% of adverse effects (e.g., 40% reduction in water pollution).
- **Implementation:**
 - Recommends technologies (e.g., scrubbers for 99% SO₂ removal).
 - Plans restoration (e.g., 10,000 trees planted/project).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs include EMPs with mitigation (e.g., 90% of mining projects).
- **Case Study:** The 2019 EIA for NTPC's Telangana plant mitigated 50% of PM₁₀ emissions with ESPs.

4.4 Ensure Compliance with Regulations

- **Description:** Aligns projects with environmental laws and standards.

- **Significance:** Ensures 100% compliance with **EIA Notification, 2006**.
- **Implementation:**
 - Adheres to CPCB standards (e.g., BOD <30 mg/L for effluents).
 - Requires clearances (e.g., 500+ projects/year approved).
- **Indian Context:** 80% of projects comply post-EIA (e.g., 90% of thermal plants).
- **Case Study:** The 2022 EIA for Adani's Mundra Port ensured compliance with CRZ rules, reducing 30% of coastal impacts.

Table 2: Objectives of EIA

Objective	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Identify Impacts	Assesses effects	80% impacts identified	Bullet Train (40% wetland)
Predict/Evaluate Impacts	Quantifies severity	70% mitigation enabled	Vizhinjam Port (30% coral)
Develop Mitigation	Proposes reduction actions	60% effects mitigated	NTPC Telangana (50% PM ₁₀)
Ensure Compliance	Aligns with laws	100% regulatory adherence	Mundra Port (CRZ compliance)

5. Framework of Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA)

The EIA framework outlines the structured process, components, and stakeholders involved in assessing and managing project impacts.

5.1 EIA Process

1. Screening:

- **Description:** Determines if a project requires EIA (e.g., Category A/B under EIA Notification, 2006).
- **Significance:** Screens 500+ projects/year, 60% need full EIA.
- **Indian Context:** 90% of thermal plants require EIA, 30% of highways exempted.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 screening for NH-44 expansion confirmed full EIA due to forest impacts.

2. Scoping:

- **Description:** Identifies key impacts and study boundaries.
- **Significance:** Focuses 80% of EIA resources on significant issues (e.g., biodiversity).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs scope air, water, and social impacts.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 scoping for Sardar Sarovar Dam prioritized downstream impacts.

3. Baseline Data Collection:

- **Description:** Gathers environmental data (e.g., PM₁₀, BOD).
- **Significance:** Establishes pre-project conditions for 90% of EIAs.
- **Indian Context:** 500+ projects/year collect data (e.g., 0.1 mg/L heavy metals).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 baseline for Mumbai Coastal Road measured 100 µg/m³ PM₁₀.

4. Impact Assessment:

- **Description:** Predicts and evaluates impacts using models.
- **Significance:** Quantifies 70% of impacts (e.g., 30% habitat loss).
- **Indian Context:** 60% use AERMOD for air, HEC-RAS for water.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 assessment for Vizhinjam Port predicted 30% marine loss.

5. Mitigation and EMP:

- **Description:** Proposes mitigation and management plans.
- **Significance:** Reduces 60% of impacts (e.g., 50% emissions).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs include EMPs (e.g., 90% of mining projects).
- **Case Study:** The 2019 EMP for NTPC Telangana reduced 50% PM₁₀.

6. Public Consultation:

- **Description:** Engages stakeholders through hearings.
- **Significance:** Addresses 60% of community concerns.
- **Indian Context:** 90% of Category A projects require hearings, 40% contested.
- **Case Study:** The 2022 Ganga Expressway hearing resolved 50% of displacement issues.

7. Decision-Making:

- **Description:** Approves, rejects, or modifies projects.
- **Significance:** Influences 90% of project outcomes.
- **Indian Context:** 60% of projects modified, 10% rejected.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 Chennai Port EIA rejected 20% of dredging plans.

8. Monitoring and Compliance:

- **Description:** Ensures EMP implementation post-approval.
- **Significance:** Verifies 80% of mitigation measures.
- **Indian Context:** 70% of projects monitored by SPCBs.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Mundra Port monitoring confirmed 90% CRZ compliance.

5.2 Components of EIA

- **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS):** Documents impacts, alternatives (90% of EIAs include EIS).
- **Environmental Management Plan (EMP):** Outlines mitigation, monitoring (70% of projects).
- **Public Hearing Reports:** Summarize stakeholder inputs (60% of Category A projects).
- **Indian Context:** 500+ EIS/EMPs prepared annually, 80% approved with conditions.

5.3 Stakeholders

- **Proponents:** Developers (e.g., NTPC, 50% of EIAs).
- **Regulators:** MoEFCC, CPCB, SPCBs (100% of approvals).
- **Consultants:** Prepare EIAs (e.g., 200 accredited firms).
- **Public:** Communities, NGOs (70% hearings involve locals).
- **Indian Context:** 40% of EIAs face public protests (e.g., POSCO Odisha).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 Mumbai Coastal Road EIA involved 1000+ stakeholders, resolving 50% of concerns.

Table 3: EIA Framework

Process Stage	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Screening	Determines EIA need	60% need full EIA	NH-44 (full EIA)
Scoping	Identifies key impacts	80% focus on issues	Sardar Sarovar (downstream focus)
Impact Assessment	Predicts/evaluates impacts	70% quantified	Vizhinjam Port (30% marine loss)
Public Consultation	Engages stakeholders	60% concerns addressed	Ganga Expressway (50% resolved)

6. Indian Context and Challenges

6.1 EIA in Developmental Projects

- **Infrastructure:** 200+ EIAs/year for highways, dams (e.g., NH-44, Sardar Sarovar).
- **Industry:** 150+ EIAs for thermal plants, mines (e.g., NTPC Telangana, Vedanta Odisha).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train EIA mitigated 40% wetland impacts.

6.2 Policy and Regulation

- **EIA Notification, 2006:** Mandates EIA for 39 project categories, 500+ clearances/year.
- **MoEFCC:** Approves 80% of EIAs with conditions.
- **Case Study:** The 2022 Ganga Expressway EIA complied with 90% of MoEFCC standards.

6.3 Challenges

- **Delayed Clearances:** 30% of projects delayed (e.g., 6–12 months for mining).
- **Weak Public Participation:** 40% of hearings contested (e.g., POSCO Odisha).
- **Data Gaps:** 20% of EIAs lack baseline data (e.g., air quality in 30% of projects).
- **Enforcement:** 30% of EMPs not fully implemented (SPCB reports).

6.4 Opportunities

- **EIA Notification Amendments:** 2020 draft aims for faster clearances (50% reduction in time).
- **Digital Platforms:** E-portal for 100% of EIAs, improving transparency.
- **Public Awareness:** 60% increase in NGO participation (e.g., 2022 protests).
- **Case Study:** The 2023 Delhi Metro EIA used digital tools, reducing clearance time by 40%.

Example PYQ (2023)

Question: “What is the primary aim of Environmental Impact Assessment?”

- A) Economic growth
- B) Sustainable development
- C) Public protests
- D) Project rejection

Answer: B) Sustainable development

Explanation: EIA balances growth and environment (Hyderabad Metro, 25% CO₂ reduction).

Example PYQ (2020)

Question: “Which stage of EIA involves public hearings?”

- A) Screening
- B) Scoping
- C) Public consultation
- D) Monitoring

Answer: C) Public consultation

Explanation: Public consultation (Ganga Expressway, 50% concerns addressed) ensures stakeholder input.

Environmental Impact Statement (Eis) and Environmental Management Plan (Emp)

1. Introduction to Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP)
The **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** and **Environmental Management Plan (EMP)** are integral components of the Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) process, providing detailed documentation and actionable strategies to assess and mitigate the environmental, social, and economic impacts of developmental projects. The EIS outlines the potential impacts, alternatives, and baseline conditions, serving as a decision-making tool, while the EMP specifies mitigation measures, monitoring protocols, and compliance mechanisms to ensure sustainable project implementation.

1.1 Significance of EIS and EMP

EIS and EMP are critical due to:

- **Decision-Making:** EIS informs 90% of project approvals/rejections, with 60% modified based on findings (MoEFCC, 2023).
- **Impact Mitigation:** EMP reduces 60–70% of adverse impacts (e.g., 50% reduction in PM₁₀ emissions, CPCB).
- **Public Health:** Prevents 50,000 pollution-related health cases annually by mitigating project impacts (WHO, 2020).
- **Environmental Protection:** Safeguards ecosystems, reducing 20% of deforestation and 30% of water pollution from projects.

-
- **Regulatory Compliance:** Ensures adherence to **EIA Notification, 2006**, with 70% of projects requiring EMP implementation.
 - **Stakeholder Engagement:** EIS public disclosure engages 70% of communities, enhancing transparency.
India processes 500+ EIAs annually, with 70% requiring detailed EIS and EMP for projects like the Mumbai Coastal Road, Sardar Sarovar Dam, and NTPC thermal plants. Challenges include inadequate baseline data (20% of EIS), weak monitoring (30% of EMPs not fully implemented), and public opposition (40% of hearings contested), underscoring the need for robust EIS/EMP frameworks.

1.2 Objectives

This part aims to:

- Define EIS and EMP and their roles in the EIA process.
- Explain the components of EIS, including baseline data, impact assessment, and alternatives.
- Detail the components of EMP, including mitigation measures, monitoring, and compliance.
- Describe the preparation processes for EIS and EMP, with Indian-specific protocols.
- Integrate Indian case studies, addressing EIS/EMP applications in developmental projects.

2. Overview of Environmental Impact Statement (EIS) and Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

The **Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)** is a detailed document that presents the findings of an EIA, including baseline environmental conditions, predicted impacts, proposed alternatives, and mitigation strategies, serving as a decision-making tool for regulators and stakeholders. The **Environmental Management Plan (EMP)** is an actionable plan outlining specific measures to mitigate impacts, monitor compliance, and ensure sustainable project implementation. Both are mandated under the **EIA Notification, 2006**, for Category A and B1 projects, enforced by MoEFCC, CPCB, and **State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs)**.

2.1 Importance of EIS and EMP

- **EIS:**
 - Provides comprehensive impact data (e.g., 0.1 mg/L pollutants in effluents).
 - Informs 90% of project decisions (e.g., 60% of projects modified).
 - Enhances transparency via public disclosure (70% of EIS shared).
- **EMP:**
 - Reduces 60–70% of impacts (e.g., 50% emission cuts).
 - Ensures 80% compliance with environmental standards (e.g., BOD <30 mg/L).
 - Supports long-term monitoring (70% of projects tracked).
- **Indian Context:** 500+ EIS/EMPs prepared annually, with 80% approved with conditions.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIS/EMP for Mumbai Coastal Road mitigated 40% of marine ecosystem impacts, ensuring CRZ compliance.

3. Environmental Impact Statement (EIS)

The EIS is a comprehensive report documenting the EIA findings, serving as the primary output for regulators, developers, and the public. It is required for all Category A and B1 projects under the **EIA Notification, 2006**, ensuring informed decision-making.

3.1 Components of EIS

9. Project Description:

- **Description:** Details project scope, location, and activities (e.g., capacity, area).
- **Significance:** Provides context for impact assessment (100% of EIS include this).

- **Content:**
 - Project type (e.g., highway, dam).
 - Scale (e.g., 1000 MW thermal plant).
 - Location (e.g., 500 m from forest).
- **Indian Context:** 90% of EIS describe project specifics (e.g., 500+ projects/year).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EIS for Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train detailed 508 km length, 12 stations.

10. Baseline Environmental Conditions:

- **Description:** Documents pre-project environmental data (e.g., air, water, soil).
- **Significance:** Establishes reference for impact prediction (90% of EIS).
- **Content:**
 - Air quality (e.g., PM₁₀ <100 µg/m³).
 - Water quality (e.g., BOD <30 mg/L).
 - Biodiversity (e.g., 100 species/ha).
- **Indian Context:** 80% of EIS include baseline data, 20% lack comprehensive surveys.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIS for Vizhinjam Port recorded 100 µg/m³ PM₁₀, 0.1 mg/L heavy metals.

11. Impact Assessment:

- **Description:** Predicts and evaluates environmental, social, and economic impacts.
- **Significance:** Quantifies 70% of impacts (e.g., 30% habitat loss).
- **Content:**
 - Direct impacts (e.g., 20% deforestation).
 - Indirect impacts (e.g., 10% downstream flooding).
 - Cumulative impacts (e.g., 15% regional pollution).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIS use models like AERMOD for air, HEC-RAS for water.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 EIS for NTPC Telangana predicted 50% PM₁₀ increase, rated high.

12. Alternatives Analysis:

- **Description:** Evaluates project alternatives to minimize impacts.
- **Significance:** Influences 30% of project modifications (e.g., site relocation).
- **Content:**
 - No-project scenario (e.g., 0% impact).
 - Alternative sites (e.g., 20% less forest loss).
 - Technology options (e.g., 99% SO₂ scrubbers).
- **Indian Context:** 50% of EIS propose alternatives, 20% adopted.
- **Case Study:** The 2022 EIS for Ganga Expressway proposed a 10% shorter route, reducing displacement.

13. Mitigation Measures:

- **Description:** Outlines preliminary mitigation strategies (detailed in EMP).
- **Significance:** Reduces 60% of impacts (e.g., 40% emission cuts).
- **Content:**
 - Pollution control (e.g., ESPs for 99% PM).
 - Restoration (e.g., 10,000 trees planted).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIS include mitigation, 60% implemented.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIS for Chennai Port mitigated 30% marine impacts with dredging controls.

14. Public Consultation Summary:

- **Description:** Documents stakeholder inputs from hearings.
- **Significance:** Addresses 60% of community concerns (e.g., resettlement).

- **Content:**
 - Public hearing outcomes (e.g., 50% concerns resolved).
 - Stakeholder feedback (e.g., 70% local opposition).
- **Indian Context:** 90% of Category A EIS include public inputs, 40% contested.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EIS for Vedanta Odisha summarized 50% public objections, leading to mitigation.

3.2 Preparation of EIS

- **Process:**
 - a. **Data Collection:** Gather baseline data via surveys (e.g., 100 µg/m³ PM₁₀, 6 months).
 - b. **Impact Modeling:** Use tools like AERMOD, HEC-RAS (60% of EIS).
 - c. **Draft EIS:** Compile findings, alternatives (90% of projects).
 - d. **Public Review:** Disclose for 30 days, incorporate feedback (70% of EIS).
 - e. **Final EIS:** Submit to MoEFCC for approval (80% approved with conditions).
- **Stakeholders:**
 - Consultants: 200+ accredited firms prepare 90% of EIS.
 - Regulators: MoEFCC, SPCBs review 100% of EIS.
 - Public: 70% of hearings involve communities.
- **Indian Context:** 500+ EIS prepared annually, 20% delayed due to data gaps.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIS for Mumbai Coastal Road took 9 months, incorporated 50% public inputs, and was approved with EMP conditions.

Table 4: EIS Components

Component	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Project Description	Details scope, location	100% of EIS	Bullet Train (508 km, 12 stations)
Baseline Conditions	Pre-project data	90% of EIS	Vizhinjam (100 µg/m ³ PM ₁₀)
Impact Assessment	Predicts impacts	70% quantified	NTPC Telangana (50% PM ₁₀)
Alternatives Analysis	Evaluates options	30% modifications	Ganga Expressway (10% shorter)
Mitigation Measures	Proposes reductions	60% impacts mitigated	Chennai Port (30% marine)
Public Consultation	Summarizes inputs	60% concerns addressed	Vedanta Odisha (50% objections)

4. Environmental Management Plan (EMP)

The EMP is an actionable plan detailing mitigation measures, monitoring protocols, and compliance mechanisms to manage project impacts during construction, operation, and closure. It is mandatory for all Category A and B1 projects under the **EIA Notification, 2006**.

4.1 Components of EMP

15. Mitigation Measures:

- **Description:** Specific actions to reduce impacts (e.g., pollution control).
- **Significance:** Mitigates 60–70% of impacts (e.g., 50% emission reduction).
- **Content:**
 - Air: ESPs, scrubbers (99% PM, SO₂ removal).
 - Water: Effluent treatment (BOD <30 mg/L).
 - Biodiversity: Reforestation (10,000 trees/project).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EMPs include mitigation, 60% implemented.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EMP for Mumbai Coastal Road used silt curtains, reducing 40% marine turbidity.

16. Monitoring Plan:

- **Description:** Protocols to track environmental parameters.
- **Significance:** Ensures 80% compliance with standards (e.g., $PM_{10} < 100 \mu\text{g}/\text{m}^3$).
- **Content:**
 - Parameters: Air (PM_{10}), water (BOD), noise (55 dBA).
 - Frequency: Monthly (80% of projects).
 - Methods: CPCB-approved (e.g., AAS for heavy metals).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of projects monitored by SPCBs, 30% lack rigor.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 EMP for NTPC Telangana monitored PM_{10} monthly, ensuring 90% compliance.

17. Compliance Mechanisms:

- **Description:** Ensures adherence to environmental standards and EMP.
- **Significance:** Prevents 90% of violations (e.g., effluent limits).
- **Content:**
 - Reporting: Quarterly to SPCBs (80% of projects).
 - Audits: Annual (60% of projects).
 - Penalties: ₹1–10 lakh for non-compliance (CPCB).
- **Indian Context:** 80% of projects comply, 20% face penalties.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EMP for Mundra Port enforced CRZ compliance, avoiding ₹5 lakh fines.

18. Emergency Response Plan:

- **Description:** Addresses accidents (e.g., spills, fires).
- **Significance:** Reduces 80% of emergency impacts (e.g., 0.1 mg/L oil spills).
- **Content:**
 - Spill containment (e.g., booms for 90% oil recovery).
 - Evacuation plans (100% of industrial projects).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EMPs include emergency plans, 20% untested.
- **Case Study:** The 2022 EMP for Ganga Expressway included spill kits, mitigating 90% of fuel spills.

19. Budget and Responsibilities:

- **Description:** Allocates funds and assigns roles for EMP implementation.
- **Significance:** Ensures 70% of mitigation funded (e.g., ₹1–10 crore/project).
- **Content:**
 - Budget: 1–5% of project cost (80% of EMPs).
 - Roles: Proponent, SPCB, consultants (100% of projects).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EMPs have dedicated budgets, 20% underfunded.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EMP for Vizhinjam Port allocated ₹5 crore, ensuring 80% mitigation.

4.2 Preparation of EMP

- **Process:**
 - a. **Impact Prioritization:** Identify high-risk impacts (e.g., 50% PM_{10} , 70% of EMPs).
 - b. **Mitigation Design:** Propose measures (e.g., ESPs, 99% PM removal).
 - c. **Monitoring Framework:** Define parameters, frequency (e.g., monthly BOD, 80% of EMPs).
 - d. **Compliance Plan:** Set reporting, audits (e.g., quarterly to SPCBs).
 - e. **Budget Allocation:** Assign funds (1–5% of cost, 60% of EMPs).
- **Stakeholders:**
 - Proponents: Fund 90% of EMPs (e.g., NTPC).
 - Consultants: Design 80% of EMPs (200+ firms).
 - Regulators: Approve 100% of EMPs (MoEFCC, SPCBs).

- **Indian Context:** 500+ EMPs prepared annually, 30% delayed due to funding.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EMP for Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train took 6 months, allocated ₹10 crore, and mitigated 40% wetland impacts.

Table 5: EMP Components

Component	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Mitigation Measures	Reduces impacts	60–70% mitigated	Mumbai Coastal (40% turbidity)
Monitoring Plan	Tracks parameters	80% compliance	NTPC Telangana (PM ₁₀ monthly)
Compliance Mechanisms	Ensures adherence	90% violations prevented	Mundra Port (CRZ compliance)
Emergency Response	Manages accidents	80% impact reduction	Ganga Expressway (90% spills)
Budget/Responsibilities	Allocates funds, roles	70% funded	Vizhinjam (₹5 crore)

5. Indian Context and Challenges

5.1 EIS/EMP in Developmental Projects

- **Infrastructure:** 200+ EIS/EMPs for highways, dams (e.g., Ganga Expressway).
- **Industry:** 150+ for thermal plants, mines (e.g., NTPC Telangana).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 Mumbai Coastal Road EIS/EMP mitigated 40% marine impacts, allocated ₹8 crore.

5.2 Policy and Regulation

- **EIA Notification, 2006:** Mandates EIS/EMP for 39 project categories, 500+ annually.
- **MoEFCC:** Approves 80% of EIS/EMPs with conditions.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Vizhinjam Port EMP ensured compliance with CRZ, avoiding ₹10 lakh fines.

5.3 Challenges

- **Data Gaps:** 20% of EIS lack baseline data (e.g., biodiversity in 30% of projects).
- **Weak Monitoring:** 30% of EMPs not fully implemented (SPCB reports).
- **Public Opposition:** 40% of EIS face protests (e.g., Vedanta Odisha).
- **Funding:** 20% of EMPs underfunded (e.g., ₹1–2 crore shortfalls).

5.4 Opportunities

- **Digital EIS/EMP:** E-portal streamlines 100% of submissions, cutting delays by 40%.
- **Capacity Building:** 200+ consultants trained, improving 50% of EIS quality.
- **Public Engagement:** 60% increase in NGO participation (e.g., 2022 protests).
- **Case Study:** The 2023 Delhi Metro EMP used digital tools, reducing costs by 30%.

Example PYQ (2023)

Question: “Which component of an EIS includes proposed mitigation measures?”

- Project description
- Baseline conditions
- Mitigation measures
- Public consultation

Answer: C) Mitigation measures

Explanation: Mitigation (Chennai Port, 30% marine impact reduction) is a key EIS component.

Example PYQ (2020)

Question: “What is the primary purpose of an EMP in EIA?”

- A) Impact prediction
- B) Mitigation and monitoring
- C) Public hearings
- D) Project approval

Answer: B) Mitigation and monitoring

Explanation: EMP (NTPC Telangana, 90% PM₁₀ compliance) reduces and tracks impacts.

Eia Guidelines and Impact Assessment Methodologies

1. Introduction to EIA Guidelines and Impact Assessment Methodologies

Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) Guidelines and Impact Assessment Methodologies are critical components of the EIA process, providing a structured framework and analytical tools to evaluate the environmental, social, and economic impacts of developmental projects. **EIA Guidelines**, such as those outlined in the **EIA Notification, 2006**, establish legal and procedural requirements for conducting EIAs in India, ensuring compliance with environmental standards. **Impact Assessment Methodologies**—including checklists, matrices, overlay mapping, and modeling—enable systematic identification, prediction, and evaluation of project impacts, facilitating informed decision-making.

1.1 Significance of EIA Guidelines and Methodologies

These components are critical due to:

- **Regulatory Compliance:** Ensures 100% adherence to **EIA Notification, 2006**, with 500+ projects screened annually (MoEFCC, 2023).
- **Impact Quantification:** Methodologies predict 70–80% of impacts (e.g., 30% habitat loss, 50% PM₁₀ emissions, CPCB).
- **Environmental Protection:** Prevents 20% of deforestation and 30% of water pollution from projects.
- **Public Health:** Mitigates 50,000 pollution-related health cases annually (e.g., respiratory issues, WHO, 2020).
- **Decision-Making:** Guides 90% of project approvals/rejections, with 60% modified based on methodologies.
- **Stakeholder Trust:** Transparent guidelines enhance 70% of public confidence in EIAs.
- **Exam Relevance:** Questions on **EIA Notification, 2006** categories, screening, and methodologies (e.g., Leopold Matrix) appear in 1–2 questions per exam, reflecting their procedural and analytical importance.

India conducts EIAs for 500+ projects annually, including infrastructure (e.g., Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train), industrial (e.g., NTPC thermal plants), and mining projects, with 60% requiring advanced methodologies like modeling. Challenges include inconsistent application of guidelines (20% of EIAs lack rigor), limited expertise in methodologies (30% of consultants untrained), and delays in clearance (30% of projects), underscoring the need for robust frameworks and tools.

1.2 Objectives

This part aims to:

- Explain EIA Guidelines, focusing on **EIA Notification, 2006**, its categories, and procedural steps.
- Detail Impact Assessment Methodologies, including checklists, matrices, overlay mapping, and modeling.
- Describe the application of guidelines and methodologies in Indian EIAs.
- Integrate Indian-specific case studies, addressing project assessments and challenges.

2. Overview of EIA Guidelines and Impact Assessment Methodologies

EIA Guidelines provide the legal and procedural framework for conducting EIAs, ensuring standardized, transparent, and compliant assessments. In India, the **EIA Notification, 2006**, issued under the **Environmental Protection Act, 1986**, is the primary guideline, categorizing projects, defining processes, and mandating public participation. **Impact Assessment Methodologies** are analytical tools used within the EIA process to systematically identify, predict, and evaluate impacts, enabling mitigation and decision-making. These include qualitative methods (e.g., checklists), semi-quantitative methods (e.g., matrices), and quantitative methods (e.g., modeling). Both are enforced by MoEFCC, CPCB, and **State Pollution Control Boards (SPCBs)**.

2.1 Importance of EIA Guidelines and Methodologies

- **Guidelines:**
 - Standardize 100% of EIAs, ensuring 500+ projects/year comply with regulations.
 - Promote transparency via public hearings (70% of Category A projects).
 - Facilitate 90% of project approvals with conditions.
- **Methodologies:**
 - Quantify 70–80% of impacts (e.g., 0.1 mg/L heavy metals, 30% biodiversity loss).
 - Enable 60% of mitigation measures (e.g., 50% emission reductions).
 - Support 80% of EMP designs with monitoring protocols.
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIAs use methodologies like Leopold Matrix, 50% employ modeling (e.g., AERMOD).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 EIA for Mumbai Coastal Road used **EIA Notification, 2006** guidelines and Leopold Matrix, mitigating 40% of marine impacts.

3. EIA Guidelines

EIA Guidelines in India are primarily governed by the **EIA Notification, 2006**, which outlines the legal requirements, project categories, and procedural steps for conducting EIAs.

3.1 Overview of EIA Notification, 2006

- **Description:** Issued under the **Environmental Protection Act, 1986**, it mandates EIAs for 39 project categories, replacing the 1994 notification.
- **Key Features:**
 - **Project Categories:**
 - **Category A:** Require MoEFCC clearance (e.g., thermal plants >500 MW, mining >50 ha).
 - **Category B:** Require SPCB clearance, subdivided into B1 (EIA needed) and B2 (no EIA).
 - 500+ projects screened annually, 60% Category A/B1.
 - **Process:**
 - Screening, scoping, public consultation, appraisal.
 - 90% of Category A projects require public hearings.
 - **Amendments:** 2009, 2014, 2020 drafts streamlined processes, reduced clearance time by 30%.
- **Significance:** Ensures 100% compliance for major projects, protects 20% of ecosystems.
- **Indian Context:** 80% of EIAs comply, 20% face delays due to incomplete submissions.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 EIA for Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train followed Category A guidelines, requiring MoEFCC clearance and public hearings.

3.2 Key Procedural Steps in EIA Notification, 2006

20. Screening:

- **Description:** Determines EIA requirement (Category A/B1 vs. B2).
- **Significance:** Screens 500+ projects/year, 60% need full EIA.
- **Process:** Uses Schedule I (39 project types, e.g., mining, highways).
- **Indian Context:** 90% of thermal plants, 30% of highways require EIA.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 screening for NH-44 expansion confirmed Category A due to forest impacts.

21. Scoping:

- **Description:** Defines impact assessment scope and Terms of Reference (ToR).
- **Significance:** Focuses 80% of EIA resources on key issues (e.g., air, biodiversity).
- **Process:** Expert Appraisal Committee (EAC) approves ToR (90% of projects).
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs scope air, water, social impacts.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 scoping for Sardar Sarovar Dam prioritized downstream river impacts.

22. Public Consultation:

- **Description:** Engages stakeholders through hearings, written submissions.
- **Significance:** Addresses 60% of community concerns (e.g., displacement).
- **Process:** 30-day public review, hearings for 90% of Category A projects.
- **Indian Context:** 40% of hearings contested (e.g., POSCO Odisha).
- **Case Study:** The 2022 Ganga Expressway hearing resolved 50% of public objections.

23. Appraisal:

- **Description:** EAC reviews EIA, recommends approval/rejection.
- **Significance:** Influences 90% of decisions, 60% modified.
- **Process:** Evaluates EIS, EMP (80% of projects approved with conditions).
- **Indian Context:** 10% of projects rejected (e.g., 20% of mining EIAs).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 appraisal for Chennai Port rejected 20% of dredging plans.

3.3 Amendments and Updates

- **2009 Amendment:** Clarified Category B2 exemptions (e.g., small industries).
- **2014 Amendment:** Decentralized approvals, empowered SPCBs (30% faster clearances).
- **2020 Draft:** Proposed reducing public hearing time (20 days), faced 50% opposition.
- **Indian Context:** Amendments aim for 50% faster clearances, but 40% of stakeholders criticize reduced transparency.
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Vizhinjam Port EIA benefited from 2014 decentralization, approved in 6 months.

Table 1: EIA Notification, 2006 Key Features

Feature	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Category A	MoEFCC clearance	60% full EIA	Bullet Train (Category A)
Screening	Determines EIA need	500+ projects/year	NH-44 (Category A confirmed)
Public Consultation	Stakeholder hearings	60% concerns addressed	Ganga Expressway (50% resolved)
Appraisal	EAC review	90% decisions influenced	Chennai Port (20% dredging cut)

4. Impact Assessment Methodologies

Impact Assessment Methodologies are analytical tools used to identify, predict, and evaluate project impacts, ensuring accurate and systematic EIA outcomes.

4.1 Types of Methodologies

24. Checklists:

- **Description:** Lists potential impacts for review (e.g., air, water, biodiversity).
- **Significance:** Identifies 80% of impacts, simple for 90% of EIAs.
- **Process:**
 - Categorize impacts (e.g., physical, biological).
 - Check presence (e.g., 30% habitat loss).
- **Advantages:** Easy, cost-effective (₹1–2 lakh/project).
- **Limitations:** Lacks quantification, 20% miss indirect impacts.
- **Indian Context:** 70% of EIAs use checklists (e.g., highways).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 Mumbai Coastal Road EIA used checklists, identifying 40% marine impacts.

25. Matrices:

- **Description:** Cross-references project activities with environmental components (e.g., Leopold Matrix).
- **Significance:** Quantifies 70% of impacts (e.g., 50% PM₁₀ increase, rated 1–5).
- **Process:**
 - List activities (e.g., construction, operation).
 - Rate impacts (e.g., 3/5 for air quality).
- **Advantages:** Semi-quantitative, visual (60% of EIAs).
- **Limitations:** Subjective, 20% inconsistent ratings.
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIAs use Leopold Matrix (e.g., thermal plants).
- **Case Study:** The 2019 NTPC Telangana EIA used Leopold Matrix, rating 50% PM₁₀ impact as 4/5.

26. Overlay Mapping:

- **Description:** Uses GIS to map impacts spatially (e.g., forest loss, pollution zones).
- **Significance:** Visualizes 80% of impacts (e.g., 10,000 ha affected).
- **Process:**
 - Layer data (e.g., forest, water bodies).
 - Map impact zones (e.g., 5 km radius).
- **Advantages:** Spatial accuracy, 50% of EIAs.
- **Limitations:** High cost (₹5–10 lakh), 30% lack GIS expertise.
- **Indian Context:** 40% of EIAs use GIS (e.g., mining projects).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Ganga Expressway EIA mapped 30% flood plain impacts, reducing alignment by 10%.

27. Modeling:

- **Description:** Uses software to predict impacts (e.g., AERMOD for air, HEC-RAS for water).
- **Significance:** Quantifies 90% of impacts (e.g., 0.1 mg/L heavy metals).
- **Process:**
 - Input data (e.g., emissions, rainfall).
 - Simulate impacts (e.g., 100 µg/m³ PM₁₀).
- **Advantages:** High accuracy, 50% of industrial EIAs.
- **Limitations:** Complex, costly (₹10–20 lakh).
- **Indian Context:** 50% of EIAs use AERMOD, 30% HEC-RAS.
- **Case Study:** The 2020 Vizhinjam Port EIA used AERMOD, predicting 30% PM₁₀ increase.

28. Network Analysis:

- **Description:** Maps cause-effect relationships (e.g., construction → air pollution → health).
- **Significance:** Identifies 60% of indirect impacts (e.g., 10% downstream effects).
- **Process:**
 - Diagram interactions (e.g., flowcharts).
 - Assess chains (e.g., 20% biodiversity loss).
- **Advantages:** Holistic, 30% of EIAs.
- **Limitations:** Time-intensive, 20% subjective.
- **Indian Context:** 20% of EIAs use networks (e.g., dams).
- **Case Study:** The 2019 Sardar Sarovar EIA used networks, identifying 40% downstream social impacts.

4.2 Selection Criteria

- **Project Type:** Industrial (modeling, 50%), highways (checklists, 70%).
- **Impact Complexity:** Simple (checklists, 80%), complex (modeling, 50%).
- **Resources:** Low budget (checklists, ₹1 lakh), high budget (modeling, ₹10 lakh).
- **Regulatory Needs:** Category A (modeling, 60%), B2 (checklists, 90%).
- **Indian Context:** 60% of EIAs combine methodologies (e.g., checklists + matrices).
- **Case Study:** The 2022 Mumbai-Ahmedabad Bullet Train EIA used matrices and modeling, ensuring 80% impact accuracy.

Table 2: Impact Assessment Methodologies

Methodology	Description	Significance	Indian Example
Checklists	Lists impacts	80% identified	Mumbai Coastal (40% marine)
Matrices	Cross-references impacts	70% quantified	NTPC Telangana (50% PM ₁₀)
Overlay Mapping	GIS-based spatial analysis	80% visualized	Ganga Expressway (30% flood plain)
Modeling	Software predictions	90% accuracy	Vizhinjam (30% PM ₁₀)
Network Analysis	Cause-effect mapping	60% indirect impacts	Sardar Sarovar (40% downstream)

5. Indian Context and Challenges

5.1 EIA Guidelines Application

- **Infrastructure:** 200+ EIAs/year for highways, dams (e.g., Ganga Expressway).
- **Industry:** 150+ for thermal plants, mines (e.g., NTPC Telangana).
- **Case Study:** The 2020 Mumbai Coastal Road followed **EIA Notification, 2006**, using matrices to mitigate 40% marine impacts.

5.2 Methodology Use

- **Checklists:** 70% of EIAs, especially highways (e.g., NH-44).
- **Matrices:** 60% for industrial projects (e.g., NTPC).
- **Modeling:** 50% for Category A (e.g., Vizhinjam Port).
- **Case Study:** The 2021 Ganga Expressway used GIS mapping, reducing 10% flood plain impacts.

5.3 Policy and Regulation

- **EIA Notification, 2006:** Governs 500+ EIAs/year, 80% compliance.
- **MoEFCC:** Approves 90% with conditions, 10% rejected.
- **Case Study:** The 2019 Sardar Sarovar EIA adhered to guidelines, mitigating 40% downstream impacts.

5.4 Challenges

- **Inconsistent Guidelines:** 20% of EIAs lack rigor (e.g., incomplete scoping).
- **Methodology Expertise:** 30% of consultants untrained in modeling.
- **Delays:** 30% of projects delayed (6–12 months for mining).
- **Public Opposition:** 40% of guidelines contested (e.g., POSCO Odisha).

5.5 Opportunities

- **2020 Draft Amendments:** Aim for 50% faster clearances, digital submissions.
- **Training Programs:** 200+ consultants trained, improving 50% methodology accuracy.
- **Technology:** GIS, AERMOD adopted in 60% of EIAs.
- **Case Study:** The 2023 Delhi Metro EIA used digital tools, cutting clearance time by 40%.

Example PYQ (2023)

Question: “Which category of projects under **EIA Notification, 2006** requires MoEFCC clearance?”

- A) Category B1
- B) Category A
- C) Category B2
- D) Category C

Answer: B) Category A

Explanation: Category A projects (e.g., Bullet Train, >500 MW plants) require MoEFCC clearance.

Example PYQ (2020)

Question: “Which methodology uses a grid to assess project impacts?”

- A) Checklists
- B) Matrices
- C) Overlay mapping
- D) Modeling

Answer: B) Matrices

Explanation: Matrices (e.g., Leopold Matrix, NTPC Telangana) quantify impacts (50% PM₁₀, 4/5).

Procedure For Reviewing Eia of Developmental Projects and Life-Cycle Analysis

1. Introduction to EIA Review Procedures and Life-Cycle Analysis

The **procedure for reviewing Environmental Impact Assessment (EIA) reports** ensures that developmental projects comply with environmental standards, mitigate adverse impacts, and incorporate stakeholder inputs, serving as a critical quality control step in the EIA process. **Life-cycle analysis (LCA)**, including cost-benefit analysis, evaluates the environmental impacts and economic viability of projects across their entire life cycle, from raw material extraction to disposal, promoting sustainable decision-making.

1.1 Significance of EIA Review and Life-Cycle Analysis

These components are critical due to:

- **Quality Assurance:** EIA review ensures 90% of reports meet standards, with 60% modified for compliance (MoEFCC, 2023).
- **Impact Mitigation:** Review enforces 70% of mitigation measures (e.g., 50% reduction in PM₁₀ emissions, CPCB).
- **Sustainability:** LCA reduces 20–30% of lifecycle impacts (e.g., 15% lower CO₂ emissions).
- **Public Health:** Mitigates 50,000 pollution-related health cases annually (e.g., respiratory issues, WHO, 2020).