



UGC-NET

Library Science

National Testing Agency (NTA)

PAPER - 2 || VOLUME - 2



UGC NET PAPER – 2 (LIBRARY SCIENCE)

S.N.	Content	P.N.
UNIT – V		
Knowledge Organization and Information Retrieval		
1.	Universe of Knowledge - Nature and Attributes; Modes of Formation of Subjects.	1
2.	Knowledge Organisation - Classification – Theories, Cannons, and Principles; Simple Knowledge Organisation System (SKOS), Taxonomies, Folksonomy, Trends in Classification.	4
3.	Mapping of Subjects in Library Classification Schemes – DDC, UDC and CC.	11
4.	Knowledge Organisation: Cataloguing - Cannons and Principles; Centralized and Co-operative Catalogue; Library Cataloguing Codes: CCC and AACR - II.	14
5.	Standards of Bibliographic Record Formats and Description – ISBD, MARC 21, CCF, RDA, FRBR, Bibframe.	22
6.	Standards for Bibliographic Information Interchange & Communication – ISO 2709, Z39.50, Z39.71.	33
7.	Metadata Standards: Dublin Core; MARC21, METS, MODES, EAD.	41
8.	Indexing Systems and Techniques: Assigned - Pre-coordinate; Post-Coordinate; Derived- Title-based; Vocabulary Control.	51
9.	Abstracting – Types and Guidelines.	62
10.	Information Retrieval System – Features, Components, Models and Evaluation.	67
UNIT – VI		
Library Management and Marketing		
1.	Management - Principles, Functions and Schools of thought	75
2.	Library and Information Centers Management - Book Selection Tools and Principles; Library Acquisition, Technical Processing, Circulation, Serial Control, Maintenance and Stock Verification; Preservation and Conservation; Hazards and Control Measures of Library Materials	81
3.	Human Resource Management – Planning, Job Analysis, Job Description, Job Evaluation, Selection, Recruitment, Motivation, Training and Development, Performance Appraisal; Staff Manual	97
4.	Financial Management in Libraries - Sources of Finance, Resource Mobilisation, Budgeting Methods; Cost Effective and Cost Benefit Analysis, Annual Reports & Statistics; Library Authority and Committee	108
5.	Project Management - SWOT, PEST, PERT/CPM	118

6.	Total Quality Management (TQM) - Concepts, Principles and Techniques, Six Sigma; Evaluation of Services of Libraries and Information Centers	123
7.	Library Building, Furniture and Equipments; Green Library Building; Information Commons; Makers Space; Security and Safety	131
8.	Management Information System (MIS), MBO, Change Management, Disaster Management, Crisis Management	140
9.	Knowledge Management – Principles, Tools, Components and Architecture	155
10.	Marketing of Library Products and Services – Plan, Research, Strategies, Mix, Segmentation, Pricing and Advertising; Management Consultancy	164
UNIT – VII		
Information and Communication Technology (ICT)		
1.	Computer Technology - Character Representation (ASCII, ISCII, Unicode); Computer Hardware, Software; Storage Devices; Input and Output Devices.	178
2.	Types of Software - System Software, Application Software.	182
3.	Programming Languages – Object Oriented, Procedural, High Level, Scripting; Web Languages.	191
4.	Telecommunication - Transmission Channels, Mode, and Media, ISDN, PSDN, Multiplexing, Modulation, Standards and Protocols.	200
5.	Wireless Communication – Media, Wi-fi, Li-fi, Satellite Communication, Mobile Communication.	208
6.	Computer Networks - Topologies, Types of Networks – LAN, MAN, WAN.	214
7.	Internet - Web browsers, WWW, E-mail; Search Engines, Meta and Entity Search engines.	219
8.	Internet Protocols and Standards – HTTP, SHTTP, FTP, SMTP, TCP/IP, URI, URL.	224
9.	Hypertext, Hypermedia, Multimedia, Video conferencing, Virtual Reality, Augmented Technologies.	229
10.	Data Security, Network Security, Firewalls, Cryptographic Techniques, Anti-virus software, Anti-spyware, Intrusion Detection System.	238

V UNIT

Knowledge Organization and Information Retrieval

Universe of Knowledge

Nature & Attributes

1. Introduction: Understanding the Universe of Knowledge

- The "Universe of Knowledge" refers to the entire body of human knowledge, covering all disciplines, subjects, and areas of study.
- Knowledge is systematic, ever-expanding, and interconnected, evolving through discoveries, research, and innovation.
- Understanding its nature and attributes helps in organizing, classifying, and retrieving information efficiently in libraries and digital repositories.

2. Nature of the Universe of Knowledge

- The nature of knowledge is shaped by its growth, classification, and interrelations among different subjects.
- **Key Aspects of the Nature of Knowledge:**

Aspect	Description
Dynamic & Expanding	Knowledge constantly evolves with new discoveries, theories, and advancements.
Interconnected & Multidisciplinary	Different fields influence and intersect with each other (e.g., Biochemistry combines Biology & Chemistry).
Hierarchical & Structured	Subjects are arranged from broad areas to specialized subfields (e.g., Science → Physics → Quantum Mechanics).
Cumulative & Continuous	New knowledge builds on existing knowledge through research and innovation.
Classifiable & Organizable	Can be arranged systematically for easy retrieval and access.

• Real-World Example:

- Medical Science integrates Biology, Chemistry, and Physics to develop new treatments.
- Artificial Intelligence combines Computer Science, Mathematics, and Cognitive Science.

3. Attributes of Knowledge

- Knowledge has distinct attributes that define its structure, transmission, and usability.
- **Major Attributes of Knowledge:**

Attribute	Description
Empirical & Rational	Some knowledge is based on experience (empirical), while some is derived through logic (rational).
Explicit & Tacit	Explicit knowledge is documented (books, journals), while tacit knowledge exists in human minds (skills, intuition).
General & Specialized	General knowledge applies broadly (basic science), while specialized knowledge is field-specific (neuroscience).
Formal & Informal	Formal knowledge is structured (academic research), while informal knowledge is unstructured (folk traditions).
Verifiable & Falsifiable	Scientific knowledge can be tested, proven, or disproven (e.g., Newton's Laws, Quantum Theory).

• Real-World Example:

- Tacit Knowledge: A librarian knows how to classify books efficiently based on experience.
- Explicit Knowledge: A cataloging manual documents classification rules.

4. Organization of Knowledge in Libraries & Digital Archives

- Library Classification Systems (DDC, UDC, LCC) – Arrange subjects in a structured format.
- Knowledge Taxonomies & Ontologies – Used in digital libraries and databases to organize knowledge hierarchically.
- Semantic Web & Artificial Intelligence – AI-driven systems analyze and categorize knowledge for smarter retrieval.
- **Example:** Google Scholar, WorldCat, and digital repositories like arXiv use AI to classify and recommend research articles.

5. Future Trends in Knowledge Organization

- AI-Powered Knowledge Mapping – Machine learning models help predict and structure new fields of study.
- Big Data & Digital Archives – Expanding open-access repositories for global knowledge sharing.
- Knowledge Graphs & Linked Data – Used in Wikipedia, academic search engines, and AI-driven research tools.

6. Conclusion of Part 1: The Significance of the Universe of Knowledge

- Knowledge is dynamic, interconnected, and ever-expanding.
- Understanding its nature and attributes helps in structuring, organizing, and retrieving information efficiently.
- Libraries, digital archives, and AI-driven knowledge systems will play a crucial role in managing the future of knowledge.

Modes of Formation of Subjects – Growth, Classification & Relationships

1. Introduction: Understanding the Formation of Subjects

- The Modes of Formation of Subjects explain how different disciplines and fields of knowledge evolve over time.
- Subjects develop through research, discovery, interdisciplinary collaboration, and classification.
- Classifying subjects systematically helps in knowledge organization, retrieval, and dissemination in libraries and research databases.

2. Modes of Formation of Subjects

- Subjects can be formed, divided, and structured based on various modes:

Mode	Description	Example
Fission	Splitting a broad subject into specialized subfields.	Physics → Quantum Physics, Astrophysics
Fusion	Merging two or more subjects to create a new field.	Biotechnology (Biology + Technology)
Distillation	Extracting core principles from a broad field.	Applied Mathematics from Pure Mathematics
Lamination	Layering knowledge from multiple perspectives.	Environmental Studies (Scientific + Social Approach)
Loose Assemblage	Combining related subjects without strict integration.	Social Science (History, Economics, Sociology)

- **Real-World Example:**

- Artificial Intelligence emerged from fusing Computer Science, Neuroscience & Mathematics.
- Linguistics was distilled from Philosophy, Psychology & Cognitive Science.

3. Subject Growth Patterns in Knowledge Formation

Pattern	Definition	Example
Linear Growth	Knowledge expands in a straight sequence over time.	Classical Mechanics → Quantum Mechanics
Divergent Growth	A subject splits into multiple specialized areas.	Medicine → Oncology, Cardiology, Neurology

Convergent Growth	Different disciplines merge to form new subjects.	Bioinformatics (Biology + Computer Science)
Cyclical Growth	Knowledge concepts evolve, disappear, and re-emerge.	Artificial Intelligence (Revived after the 1980s AI winter)

• **Real-World Example:**

- Cybersecurity is a fusion of Cryptography, Information Technology & Law.
- Nanotechnology emerged from Physics, Chemistry & Material Science.

4. Classification of Knowledge in Library Science

- Traditional Classification Schemes: Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC), Universal Decimal Classification (UDC), Library of Congress Classification (LCC).
- Modern Digital Taxonomies: Knowledge Graphs, Ontologies, AI-Based Semantic Web Classification.
- Multidisciplinary Approaches: Hybrid systems that accommodate multiple fields and cross-disciplinary research.
- **Example:** Google Scholar and Scopus use AI-driven classification for interdisciplinary research tagging.

2. Types of Subject Relationships

- Subjects can be related in different ways:

Type	Definition	Example
Interdisciplinary	Two or more disciplines interact to create shared knowledge.	Artificial Intelligence (CS + Neuroscience)
Multidisciplinary	Multiple disciplines study the same topic separately.	Climate Change (Physics, Economics, Sociology)
Transdisciplinary	A new field emerges by integrating multiple disciplines beyond their boundaries.	Cognitive Science (Linguistics, Psychology, AI, Biology)
Hierarchical	Subjects are structured in a parent-child relationship.	Science → Chemistry → Organic Chemistry
Parallel	Subjects grow independently but remain related.	Medicine & Nutrition
Sequential	One subject's growth depends on another.	Algebra → Calculus → Data Science

5. Future Trends in Subject Formation & Knowledge Classification

- AI & Machine Learning in Subject Categorization – Predicting and classifying new knowledge domains.
- Blockchain for Knowledge Preservation – Ensuring authenticity of research records.
- Big Data in Subject Evolution – Analyzing trends for future subject development.

6. Conclusion of Part 2: The Dynamic Nature of Subject Formation

- Subjects evolve through fission, fusion, distillation, and interdisciplinary collaboration.
- Understanding the formation of subjects enhances library classification and knowledge management.
- AI, Big Data, and Semantic Web technologies will further revolutionize subject categorization and retrieval.

Relationships Between Subjects – Interdisciplinary, Multidisciplinary & Transdisciplinary Approaches

1. Introduction: Understanding Subject Relationships

- The Universe of Knowledge is not isolated; subjects interact, influence, and evolve through interconnections.
- Subject relationships are crucial for knowledge classification, library science, and interdisciplinary research.
- The integration of different subjects helps in solving complex problems and advancing scientific discovery.

- **Real-World Example:**
 - Cybersecurity is interdisciplinary (IT, Cryptography, Law).
 - Space Research is multidisciplinary (Physics, Engineering, Astronomy, Biology).
 - Sustainability Studies is transdisciplinary (Environmental Science, Economics, Ethics).

3. Impact of Subject Relationships in Library & Information Science

- Enhances Knowledge Classification: Helps in organizing books, research papers, and digital content effectively.
- Facilitates Research & Innovation: Encourages collaboration across disciplines.
- Supports Digital Libraries & AI-Based Knowledge Graphs: Enables semantic searching and better retrieval of information.
- **Example:** Google Scholar, Scopus, and Web of Science use AI-based subject relationships for research recommendations.

4. Modern Trends in Subject Interconnections

- AI-Based Semantic Web: Uses natural language processing (NLP) to identify interdisciplinary knowledge connections.
- Big Data & Predictive Analytics: Analyzes how subjects evolve and interact over time.

- Digital Knowledge Graphs & Ontologies: Google, Wikipedia, and academic databases use linked data for better classification.

5. Conclusion of Part 3: The Future of Knowledge Organization

- Subjects are interconnected and evolve through interdisciplinary, multidisciplinary, and transdisciplinary approaches.
- Libraries, digital repositories, and AI-powered knowledge systems must adapt to these relationships for efficient classification and retrieval.
- The future of subject relationships lies in AI, knowledge graphs, and open-access collaborative research networks.

Knowledge Organisation - Classification

- Knowledge Organization (Part 1) – Classification Theories
- #### 1. Introduction: Understanding Knowledge Organization & Classification
- Knowledge Organization (KO) refers to the systematic arrangement, classification, and retrieval of information.
 - Classification is the foundation of KO, enabling libraries, databases, and digital repositories to structure and categorize knowledge effectively.
 - Theories of classification help in designing systematic and logical frameworks for organizing knowledge across various disciplines.

2. Theories of Classification in Knowledge Organization

Theory	Founder(s)	Key Concept	Example
Faceted Classification Theory	S.R. Ranganathan	Subjects are divided into facets (PMEST: Personality, Matter, Energy, Space, Time).	Colon Classification (CC)
Enumerative Classification Theory	Charles Ammi Cutter	Predefined subject classes arranged hierarchically.	Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)
Analytico-Synthetic Classification	S.R. Ranganathan	Subjects are broken into fundamental components and synthesized into new classifications.	UDC & CC
Hierarchical Classification	Aristotle, Linnaeus	Subjects arranged from general to specific.	Library of Congress Classification (LCC)
Dynamic Classification Theory	Henry Evelyn Bliss	Classifications evolve with time.	Bibliographic Classification (BC)

- **Real-World Example:**
 - Faceted Classification – An online bookstore organizes books based on genre, author, publication year, and language.
 - Hierarchical Classification – Medical classification systems categorize diseases under broad groups like Neurology → Brain Disorders → Alzheimer’s Disease.
- 3. **Importance of Classification Theories in Knowledge Organization**
 - Enhances Searchability & Retrieval: Helps users find books, research papers, and articles efficiently.
 - Facilitates Interdisciplinary Research: Connects related subjects for better knowledge discovery.
 - Supports Digital Knowledge Systems: AI-based classification enhances recommendation algorithms (e.g., Google Scholar).
 - **Example:** Libraries use DDC, UDC, and LCC for book arrangement, while search engines use AI-driven classification models.
- 4. **Emerging Trends in Classification Theories**
 - AI & Machine Learning in Classification: Automates knowledge categorization in digital repositories.
 - Linked Data & Knowledge Graphs: Enables semantic relationships between different subjects.
 - Big Data & Ontology-Based Classification: Enhances metadata management for large-scale digital libraries.
- 5. **Conclusion of Part 1: The Role of Classification Theories in Modern Knowledge Systems**
 - Classification theories provide structured methodologies for organizing, retrieving, and managing knowledge.
 - Faceted, hierarchical, and enumerative classification models are widely used in libraries, digital databases, and AI-powered search engines.
 - Future developments in AI, big data, and semantic web technologies will revolutionize classification and knowledge retrieval.

Canons & Principles of Classification – Ranganathan’s Contribution & Modern Applications

1. Introduction: Understanding Canons & Principles in Classification

- Classification in knowledge organization follows structured rules and principles to ensure consistency, logical arrangement, and ease of retrieval.
- S.R. Ranganathan's Canons and Principles form the foundation of modern classification systems used in libraries and digital repositories.
- Understanding these principles helps librarians, information scientists, and AI-driven knowledge systems to classify information efficiently.

2. Canons of Classification (S.R. Ranganathan)

- S.R. Ranganathan proposed Canons of Classification under three broad categories:
 - A. **Canons for Idea Plane (Conceptual Framework of Classification)**
 - These help in structuring the subject logically.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Differentiation	Defines unique classes within a subject.	Physics ≠ Chemistry
Canon of Ascertainability	Ensures clarity and verifiability of classification.	Scientific terms must be clear and distinct.
Canon of Permanence	Classifications should remain stable over time.	Newtonian Physics retains its position in classification.

B. Canons for Verbal Plane (Naming & Terminology in Classification)

- These ensure accurate and meaningful naming conventions.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Context	Terms should be used in their correct context.	"Java" (Programming) vs. "Java" (Geography).
Canon of Reticence	Avoids unnecessary complexity in classification.	Using simple, widely accepted terms.

C. Canons for Notational Plane (Symbols & Codes in Classification)

- These govern the use of symbols and codes in classification systems like DDC, UDC, LCC, and CC.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Hospitality	Allows for future additions.	DDC expands categories when new subjects arise.

3. Principles of Library Classification

Principle	Definition	Example
Principle of Literary Warrant	Classification is based on existing literature, not theoretical assumptions.	DDC categories evolve based on published works.
Principle of Helpful Sequence	Arranging subjects in a logical and user-friendly order.	Chemistry → Organic Chemistry → Biochemistry
Principle of Consistent Succession	Ensures a structured hierarchy across disciplines.	Science → Physics → Thermodynamics
Principle of Artificial Isolation	Keeps closely related subjects together for ease of access.	Medicine & Pharmacology under Health Sciences
Principle of Gradation of Specialization	From general to specific within a subject field.	Literature → English Literature → Shakespearean Studies

- **Real-World Example:**
 - Libraries use the Principle of Helpful Sequence to ensure books are arranged logically for easy browsing.
 - The Principle of Literary Warrant guides DDC updates by incorporating new research areas like Quantum Computing.

4. Impact of Canons & Principles on Knowledge Organization

- Ensures Logical Arrangement of Knowledge: Enables users to find relevant information quickly.

Canon of Relativity	Classification adapts based on field development.	AI added under Computer Science.
Canon of Uniformity	Notation should be consistent across subjects.	Decimal notation in DDC.

- **Real-World Example:**

- The Library of Congress Classification (LCC) follows the Canon of Hospitality by adding new subjects like Data Science & Machine Learning.
- Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC) maintains the Canon of Uniformity through a consistent decimal-based notation system.

- Supports Digital Classification & AI-Based Tagging: Modern search engines use classification principles for accurate search results.
 - Facilitates Research & Interdisciplinary Studies: Helps in subject mapping across different knowledge domains.
 - **Example:** Google Scholar & Web of Science use AI-driven classification based on canons and principles for research discovery.
- ### 5. Future Trends in Classification Canons & Principles
- AI & Machine Learning for Auto-Classification: Uses semantic indexing and deep learning.

- Blockchain for Knowledge Authentication: Ensures authenticity of classified research data.
 - Big Data & Knowledge Graphs: Helps analyze subject relationships dynamically.
- 6. Conclusion of Part 2: The Significance of Canons & Principles in Classification**
- Ranganathan's Canons and Principles form the backbone of library and digital classification systems.
 - They ensure consistency, logical structuring, and adaptability in classification schemes.
 - With AI and semantic web technologies, classification is becoming more dynamic, automated, and user-friendly.

**Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS)
– Structure, Uses & Digital Applications**

1. Introduction: Understanding SKOS (Simple Knowledge Organization System)

- Simple Knowledge Organization System (SKOS) is a structured framework for representing and sharing knowledge in digital environments.
- It helps in organizing thesauri, taxonomies, classification schemes, and subject headings in a machine-readable format.
- SKOS is widely used in digital libraries, semantic web technologies, and linked data applications.

2. What is SKOS?

- **Definition:**
 - SKOS is a lightweight, standard model for sharing and linking knowledge organization systems in the semantic web.
 - It enables interoperability among thesauri, controlled vocabularies, and classification schemes.

• **Key Features of SKOS:**

Feature	Description
Semantic Representation	Uses concepts, labels, and relations to structure knowledge.
Interoperability	Allows integration with different knowledge systems and databases.

Machine-Readable Format	Supports RDF (Resource Description Framework) for digital libraries.
Hierarchical & Associative Relationships	Defines broader, narrower, and related concepts.
Multilingual Support	Enables knowledge classification in multiple languages.

• **Real-World Example:**

- Wikipedia uses SKOS for categorization and linking related topics.
- Library of Congress Subject Headings (LCSH) and Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC) use SKOS for digital classification.

3. Components of SKOS

- SKOS organizes knowledge using three core components:

Component	Description	Example
Concepts	Fundamental units representing a topic.	"Artificial Intelligence"
Labels	Different names for a concept (preferred, alternative, hidden).	"AI" (Alternative Label for Artificial Intelligence)
Relationships	Connections between concepts (broader, narrower, related).	"Machine Learning" (Narrower than AI)

• **Example of SKOS Relationships:**

1. Hierarchical Relationship (Broader/Narrower):
 - AI → Machine Learning → Deep Learning
2. Associative Relationship (Related Concepts):
 - AI ↔ Cognitive Science
3. Synonyms (Alternative Labels):
 - "Artificial Intelligence" = "AI"

4. Applications of SKOS in Knowledge Organization

- Digital Libraries & Institutional Repositories: Improves metadata organization for books, journals, and articles.
- Web-Based Knowledge Graphs: Enhances Google’s Knowledge Panel, Wikipedia, and Semantic Web searches.
- AI-Powered Search Engines: Enables better search and retrieval based on concept matching.
- Multilingual Knowledge Representation: Supports cross-lingual classification systems.
- **Example:** Google Scholar uses SKOS principles to suggest related research articles.

5. Future Trends in SKOS & Digital Classification

- AI-Driven SKOS Ontologies – Using machine learning to auto-classify new topics dynamically.
- Blockchain-Based Knowledge Authentication – Ensures authenticity in research classification.
- Integration with Linked Open Data (LOD) – Enhances cross-domain interoperability for research data.

6. Conclusion of Part 3: The Role of SKOS in Modern Knowledge Systems

- SKOS simplifies digital knowledge classification using concepts, labels, and relationships.
- It is essential for semantic web technologies, digital libraries, and AI-driven search systems.
- Future advancements will integrate AI, big data, and linked data principles for more intelligent knowledge organization.

Taxonomies & Folksonomy – Structure, Uses, and Differences

1. Introduction: Understanding Taxonomies & Folksonomy

- Taxonomies and Folksonomy are two major methods of classifying and organizing knowledge in physical and digital environments.

- Taxonomies follow a structured, hierarchical classification, while folksonomy is a user-generated, tag-based system.

- Both methods play a crucial role in knowledge management, digital information retrieval, and AI-based classification systems.

2. What is a Taxonomy?

• Definition:

- A taxonomy is a formal, structured classification system that organizes concepts into hierarchical categories.
- Used in libraries, businesses, digital databases, and AI-based systems for structured information retrieval.

- Characteristics of Taxonomies:

Feature	Description
Hierarchical Structure	Concepts are classified from general to specific.
Controlled Vocabulary	Uses predefined terms for consistency.
Standardized & Stable	Maintains uniformity in classification.
Expert-Curated	Created by librarians, researchers, and domain experts.

• Examples of Taxonomy in Use:

- Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC) – Libraries classify books under subject categories.
- Medical Subject Headings (MeSH) – Organizes medical literature in a structured format.
- Google Knowledge Graph – Uses AI-driven taxonomy to categorize information.

3. What is Folksonomy?

• Definition:

- Folksonomy (Folk + Taxonomy) is a collaborative, user-generated system of tagging information in digital spaces.
- It allows users to freely assign keywords (tags) to content, creating an organic classification system.

- Characteristics of Folksonomy:

Feature	Description
Flat Structure	No predefined hierarchy; users create categories.
User-Generated Tags	Open, crowd-sourced tagging system.
Dynamic & Evolving	Changes over time as new tags emerge.
Flexible & Personalized	Users define how information is categorized.

• **Examples of Folksonomy in Use:**

- Twitter Hashtags (#AI, #LibraryScience) – Users classify content dynamically.
- Flickr & Instagram Tags – Users tag images based on perception.
- Wikipedia Category System – Uses collaborative tagging for organizing knowledge.

4. Differences Between Taxonomy & Folksonomy

Aspect	Taxonomy	Folksonomy
Structure	Hierarchical & predefined.	Flat & user-driven.
Control	Managed by experts.	Open to all users.
Flexibility	Fixed & stable.	Dynamic & evolving.
Standardization	Uses controlled vocabulary.	Uses free, informal tags.
Usage	Libraries, corporate knowledge bases, AI.	Social media, blogs, collaborative platforms.

• **Example:**

- A library uses a taxonomy-based classification (DDC) to arrange books systematically.
- Twitter users create a folksonomy by tagging posts with #MachineLearning.

5. Applications of Taxonomies & Folksonomy in Knowledge Organization

- Taxonomies for Digital Libraries & AI-Based Search Engines: Organizes scientific research, books, and institutional repositories.

- Folksonomy for Social Media & Crowdsourced Knowledge: Improves user engagement and real-time classification.
- Hybrid Approaches (AI-Based Categorization): Combines structured taxonomies with folksonomy-driven tagging for smarter recommendations.
- **Example:** Amazon uses both taxonomy (product categories) and folksonomy (user-generated tags and reviews).

6. Future Trends in Taxonomy & Folksonomy

- AI & Machine Learning for Automated Classification: Uses natural language processing (NLP) to create intelligent taxonomies.
- Blockchain-Based Metadata Systems: Secures digital classification and prevents data manipulation.
- Hybrid Systems for Smart Knowledge Graphs: Combines structured taxonomies with dynamic folksonomy for real-time information updates.

7. Conclusion of Part 4: The Role of Taxonomy & Folksonomy in Modern Knowledge Systems

- Taxonomies provide structured classification, essential for libraries, research, and AI-driven systems.
- Folksonomy is dynamic, user-driven, and flexible, ideal for social media, content tagging, and collaborative platforms.
- Future AI-based systems will integrate both approaches for efficient, real-time knowledge organization and retrieval.

Trends in Classification – AI, Big Data, and Semantic Web in Knowledge Organization

1. Introduction: Emerging Trends in Classification

- Classification systems are evolving rapidly with Artificial Intelligence (AI), Big Data, Semantic Web, and Linked Data technologies.
- Traditional classification methods like DDC, UDC, and LCC are now being integrated with AI-based auto-classification, digital taxonomies, and ontology-based knowledge structures.
- Understanding these trends helps information professionals manage digital knowledge effectively and improve retrieval systems.

2. Key Trends in Knowledge Classification

Trend	Description	Example
AI & Machine Learning in Classification	Uses AI-driven algorithms to auto-classify large datasets.	Google's AI-powered search ranking system.
Big Data in Knowledge Organization	Processes large volumes of unstructured data for classification.	Amazon's product recommendations.
Semantic Web & Linked Data	Connects structured knowledge systems for better retrieval.	Wikipedia's linked data project.
AI-Based Metadata Tagging	Auto-generates metadata using Natural Language Processing (NLP).	AI-driven library catalogs.
Ontology-Based Classification	Uses hierarchical knowledge representation for AI applications.	Biomedical ontologies for disease classification.

- **Example:** Google Scholar uses AI & semantic search algorithms to classify academic papers dynamically.

3. Artificial Intelligence & Machine Learning in Classification

- Role of AI in Classification:
 - AI automates knowledge indexing and metadata generation using deep learning.
 - AI-driven Natural Language Processing (NLP) improves subject tagging and information retrieval.
 - AI-powered Knowledge Graphs enhance classification through contextual relationships.
- **Real-World Example:**
 - IBM Watson classifies medical literature using AI-driven NLP models.
 - Google Lens uses AI to classify images based on content recognition.

4. Big Data & Its Impact on Knowledge Organization

- Key Applications of Big Data in Classification:
 - Pattern Recognition for Smart Indexing: AI detects knowledge patterns for better classification.
 - Automated Metadata Generation: Machine learning extracts key metadata from digital documents.
 - Predictive Analysis for Classification Trends: AI predicts subject growth areas for future classification needs.

- **Example:** Netflix uses Big Data classification to recommend personalized content to users.

5. Semantic Web & Linked Data in Knowledge Organization

- **What is Semantic Web?**
 - The Semantic Web enhances knowledge classification by linking structured data for better search and retrieval.
- Key Features of Semantic Web-Based Classification:
 - Linked Data Structures: Connects concepts across multiple databases.
 - AI-Powered Ontologies: Uses knowledge graphs for advanced classification.
 - Interoperability with Global Digital Libraries: Enables cross-domain knowledge sharing.
- **Example:** Google Knowledge Graph improves search results using semantic relationships.

6. Future Trends in Classification

- AI-Driven Knowledge Graphs – AI maps relationships between subjects dynamically.
- Blockchain for Secure Knowledge Classification – Ensures authenticity and reliability of classified research.
- Virtual Reality (VR) & Augmented Reality (AR) in Knowledge Retrieval – Improves classification of 3D content in digital libraries.

- **Example:** Facebook’s AI-driven content classification system prevents misinformation by analyzing user-generated data.

7. Conclusion of Part 5: The Future of Knowledge Organization

- Traditional classification systems are evolving with AI, Big Data, and Semantic Web technologies.
- AI-powered metadata tagging, ontology-based classification, and linked data structures are improving digital knowledge retrieval.
- Future innovations will integrate blockchain, VR, and AI-driven semantic searches for a more efficient knowledge organization ecosystem.

Mapping of Subjects in Library Classification Schemes – DDC, UDC and CC

1. Introduction: Understanding Subject Mapping in Library Classification

- Subject mapping in classification schemes refers to the systematic arrangement of knowledge across different classification systems.
- Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC), Universal Decimal Classification (UDC), and Colon Classification (CC) have different structures, yet they aim to classify subjects in a logical and retrievable manner.
- Comparing these systems helps understand how subjects are mapped for knowledge organization in physical and digital libraries.

2. Subject Mapping in Dewey Decimal Classification (DDC)

- **Overview of DDC:**
 - Developed by: Melvil Dewey (1876).
 - Structure: Hierarchical, Enumerative, Decimal-Based System.
 - Notation: Pure numerical system (0-999).
 - Used in: Public, academic, and school libraries worldwide.

- Subject Mapping in DDC – Main Classes (1st Summary):

DDC Class	Subject Area	Example
000-099	Generalities, Knowledge & Information	Encyclopedias, Library Science
100-199	Philosophy & Psychology	Ethics, Logic, Mind Science
200-299	Religion	Hinduism, Christianity, Buddhism
300-399	Social Sciences	Sociology, Law, Economics
400-499	Language	Linguistics, Grammar
500-599	Pure Sciences	Mathematics, Physics, Chemistry
600-699	Applied Sciences & Technology	Medicine, Engineering, Agriculture
700-799	Arts & Recreation	Music, Architecture, Painting
800-899	Literature	Poetry, Drama, Fiction
900-999	History & Geography	World History, Travel Guides

- **Example of Subject Mapping in DDC:**
 - Physics is classified under 530
 - Quantum Mechanics is classified under 530.12
 - Library Classification is mapped under 025.4

- **Key Features of DDC Subject Mapping:**
 - Hierarchical structure (General → Specific).
 - Decimal notation for subject expansion.
 - Limited flexibility for interdisciplinary subjects.

3. Subject Mapping in Universal Decimal Classification (UDC)

- **Overview of UDC:**
 - Developed by: Paul Otlet & Henri La Fontaine (1905).
 - Structure: Faceted, Enumerative & Hierarchical System.

- Notation: Numeric with symbols (+, :, /, [], =).
- Used in: Research Libraries, Technical Libraries, and Specialized Collections.
- **Subject Mapping in UDC – Main Classes:**

UDC Class	Subject Area	Example
0	Science & Knowledge Organization	Information Science
1	Philosophy & Psychology	Ethics, Metaphysics
2	Religion	Hinduism, Islam, Christianity
3	Social Sciences	Law, Education, Economics
4	[Not used in UDC]	-
5	Mathematics & Natural Sciences	Algebra, Biology, Physics
6	Applied Sciences, Medicine & Technology	Engineering, AI, Robotics
7	Arts & Entertainment	Film Studies, Sculpture
8	Language & Literature	Grammar, Poetry, Novel
9	Geograph & History	World History, Ancient Civilizations

- **Example of Subject Mapping in UDC:**
 - Physics is classified under 53
 - Quantum Mechanics is classified under 53.12
 - Library Classification is mapped under 025.4
- Key Features of UDC Subject Mapping:
 - More flexible than DDC.
 - Allows notation for interdisciplinary subjects.
 - Uses symbols for subject relations (+, :, /).

4. Comparison of Subject Mapping in DDC & UDC

Feature	DDC	UDC
Structure	Enumerative & Hierarchical	Enumerative + Faceted
Notation	Purely Numeric	Numeric + Symbols (+, :, /)

Flexibility	Limited for interdisciplinary subjects	High for interdisciplinary subjects
Used in	General libraries	Research & Technical libraries
Subject Expansion	Decimal extensions	Symbol-based relations

- **Example:**

- Artificial Intelligence (AI) in DDC: 006.3
- AI in UDC: 004.8

5. Conclusion of Part 1: Subject Mapping in DDC & UDC

- DDC provides a hierarchical, structured approach to classification, best suited for public libraries and general collections.
- UDC allows for more complex, multi-faceted subject mapping, making it suitable for research and technical libraries.
- Future classification trends will integrate AI for auto-mapping subjects across different classification schemes.

Subject Mapping in Colon Classification (CC) & Comparative Analysis

1. Introduction: Subject Mapping in Colon Classification (CC)

- Colon Classification (CC) was developed by S.R. Ranganathan and is highly faceted, flexible, and analytico-synthetic.
- It uses five fundamental categories (PMEST – Personality, Matter, Energy, Space, Time) to classify subjects.
- Unlike DDC and UDC, CC is a notation-based system that enables subject synthesis for complex topics.

2. Structure of Colon Classification (CC) & Subject Mapping

- **Overview of CC:**

- Developed by: S.R. Ranganathan (1933).
- Structure: Analytico-Synthetic, Faceted.
- Notation: Alphanumeric with colons (:) as separators.
- Used in: Research libraries, special collections, digital classification systems.

• **Fundamental Categories in CC – PMEST:**

Facet	Description	Example
P – Personality (Main Subject)	The core subject of classification.	Physics, Medicine, Sociology
M – Matter (Substance/Medium)	The material involved in the subject.	Solid, Liquid, Gas in Chemistry
E – Energy (Action/Process)	Processes related to the subject.	Boiling, Heating, Therapy
S – Space (Geographical Location)	The place of application.	India, USA, Asia
T – Time (Historical or Period Factor)	The time of occurrence.	20th Century, Ancient History

• **Example of Subject Mapping in CC:**

• **Library Classification in CC:**

- Library Science: L
- Library Classification: L:2
- Library Classification in India (Time Factor Applied): L:2:Z6

• **Physics in CC:**

- Physics: E
- Quantum Mechanics (Personality + Energy): E:2

- Quantum Mechanics Research in India (With Space Factor): E:2:N5

• **Key Features of CC Subject Mapping:**

- Highly faceted and allows subject synthesis.
- Uses colon (:) as a separator in classification notation.
- Provides more flexibility than DDC and UDC.

3. Comparison of Subject Mapping in DDC, UDC, and CC

Feature	DDC	UDC	CC
Structure	Enumerative & Hierarchical	Enumerative + Faceted	Fully Faceted & Analytico-Synthetic
Notation	Purely Numeric (000-999)	Numeric + Symbols (+, :, /)	Alphanumeric with Colons (:)
Flexibility	Limited	High for interdisciplinary subjects	Very High for customized subject synthesis
Used in	Public & Academic Libraries	Research & Special Libraries	Research Libraries & AI-Based Knowledge Systems
Subject Expansion	Decimal extensions	Symbol-based relations	Faceted combination of PMEST categories

• **Example of Subject Mapping Across Classification Schemes:**

• **Artificial Intelligence (AI) Classification:**

- DDC: 006.3
- UDC: 004.8
- CC: X:2 (X = Computing, 2 = AI)

• **Library Science Classification:**

- DDC: 025
- UDC: 020
- CC: L

4. Key Takeaways: Strengths & Limitations of Each Scheme

Scheme	Strengths	Limitations
DDC	Simple, widely used, effective for small libraries.	Limited flexibility for interdisciplinary subjects.
UDC	More detailed, allows complex classification, widely used in research.	Complex notation system with symbols.
CC	Highly flexible, best for research, faceted approach.	Less standardized, challenging for large-scale use.

- **Example:** A digital knowledge system integrating AI might prefer CC, while a public library would use DDC for simplicity.

5. Future Trends in Subject Mapping & Classification

- AI-Based Auto-Classification – AI-powered knowledge graphs improve subject mapping across classification schemes.
- Linked Data & Semantic Web Integration – Digital libraries connect classification data across DDC, UDC, and CC.
- Hybrid Classification Models – Libraries are moving towards blended models combining structured and faceted classification.
- **Example:** Google Scholar uses a hybrid approach by integrating metadata from different classification systems.

6. Conclusion of Part 2: Subject Mapping in CC & Comparative Analysis

- DDC, UDC, and CC each have unique ways of mapping subjects.
- DDC is simple and widely used, UDC is detailed and research-focused, while CC offers the highest flexibility for knowledge synthesis.

- With AI and digital knowledge systems, hybrid classification models integrating DDC, UDC, and CC will shape the future of knowledge organization.

Knowledge Organisation - Cataloguing

Knowledge Organization (Part 1) – Canons and Principles of Cataloguing

1. Introduction: Understanding Cataloguing in Knowledge Organization

- Cataloguing is a systematic process of organizing and describing library materials to facilitate easy retrieval.
- It involves creating bibliographic records with standardized formats and subject headings to enhance accessibility.
- The principles and canons of cataloguing ensure accuracy, consistency, and user-friendliness in knowledge organization.

2. Canons of Cataloguing (S.R. Ranganathan)

- S.R. Ranganathan, the pioneer of Library Science in India, formulated Canons of Cataloguing under different planes:

A. Canons for Idea Plane (Conceptual Framework of Cataloguing)

- These focus on the intellectual structure of cataloguing and help in logical arrangement.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Comprehensiveness	A catalogue should provide complete information about a document.	A book entry must include author, title, edition, and subject.
Canon of Consistency	Similar items should follow the same rules.	Names should be written in a uniform format (e.g., "Shakespeare, William" not "W. Shakespeare").
Canon of Context	Cataloguing should reflect the subject area correctly.	A history book should not be classified under Science.

B. Canons for Verbal Plane (Rules for Naming & Describing Catalogue Entries)

- These focus on how cataloguing information is written and formatted.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Sought Heading	The entry should be under the most expected heading.	Books by Shakespeare should be listed under "Shakespeare, William."
Canon of Recall Value	Entries should use standard terms to help users recall them easily.	"Mahatma Gandhi" instead of "Mohandas Karamchand Gandhi."

C. Canons for Notational Plane (Symbols & Format in Cataloguing)

- These focus on how classification symbols and notations are applied in catalogue records.

Canon	Description	Example
Canon of Relativity	Cataloguing should allow expansion as new knowledge emerges.	A new edition of a book should be linked to previous editions.
Canon of Clarity	Entries should be clearly written without ambiguity.	ISBN should be correctly formatted and displayed.

- **Example:** A book catalogued as:
 - Title: "Artificial Intelligence"
 - Author: "John McCarthy"
 - Edition: "2nd Edition"
 - Call Number: 006.3 M123A

3. Principles of Cataloguing

- The principles of cataloguing ensure that bibliographic records are structured, user-friendly, and serve their purpose effectively.

Principle	Definition	Example
Principle of User Convenience	The catalogue should be easy to use and intuitive.	OPAC (Online Public Access Catalog) allows keyword search.

Principle of Representation	The catalogue should represent documents accurately.	Correct author names, title, and edition details.
Principle of Sufficiency & Necessity	Includes essential details without excessive information.	A book entry should contain ISBN but not unnecessary descriptions.
Principle of Standardization	Follows recognized cataloguing standards (e.g., AACR-II, MARC).	Using Dublin Core Metadata Standard in digital libraries.

- **Example:** Google Books follows cataloguing principles by standardizing book metadata for efficient search.

4. Role of Canons & Principles in Knowledge Organization

- Ensures Consistency: Catalogues follow uniform rules and standards.
- Enhances Information Retrieval: Users can easily find required documents.
- Supports Digital Libraries & AI-Based Search Engines: AI-powered catalogues improve classification & retrieval.
- **Example:** WorldCat, the largest online library catalogue, follows standardized cataloguing principles for global access.

5. Future Trends in Cataloguing

- AI-Based Auto-Cataloguing: Uses machine learning to classify and tag digital books automatically.
- Blockchain for Metadata Protection: Ensures authenticity of bibliographic records.
- Integration with Linked Data & Semantic Web: Enhances metadata interoperability across digital repositories.
- **Example:** Google Scholar uses AI-based cataloguing for research article recommendations.